

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/997,475	RAMIREZ-VICK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BJ Forman	1634	

All Participants:

Status of Application: amended

(1) BJ Forman.

(3) _____.

(2) James Fox.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 July 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Mr. Fox was informed that the claims are in condition for allowance. The only outstanding issue is Applicant's petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.78(a)(3) and amendment to the first paragraph of the specification. Because patentability is not dependent upon the applications cross-referenced in the first paragraph, it was agreed that the amendment will not be entered, and the claims will be allowed. It was further agree that if the petition is approved, a 312 amendment adding the first paragraph will be entered.



BJ FORMAN, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER